

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/865,196 05/24/2001 Kok-Hwee Ng F4-5728 (1417P P 591) 2014 EXAMINER 07/08/2004 SHAPIRO, JEFFERY A Bradford R.L. Price, Esq. Senior Counsel PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Baxter International Inc. Route 120 and Wilson Road, RLP-30 3653 Round Lake, IL 60073 DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/865,196	NG ET AL.	$\mathcal{V}$
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jeffrey A. Shapiro	3653	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status				
1) Responsive to commi	unication(s) filed on <u>26 A</u>	April 2004 .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>58-90</u> is/are	pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>58-90</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is obje	ected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119	and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is mad	le of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional a	application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:				
S. Patent and Trademark Office				

Art Unit: 3653

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/26/04 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 58-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Langley (US 233,525 B1) in view of Engleson et al (US 5,781,442) and further in view of Brown (US 6,451,203 B2) and still further in view of Baluyot. Langley discloses a system for monitoring and tracking at least a portion of a blood component collection procedure in a blood component facility, performed upon a donor by an operator, as follows.

As described in Claim 58;

a blood component collection instrument (18 and needle assembly,
 see col. 5, lines 28-30) for collecting a blood component from a donor;

Art Unit: 3653

Page 3

- b. the donor having a donor identifier (see col. 9, lines 22-41) and the blood component collection instrument having a blood collection instrument identifier (note that it would be expedient for one ordinarily skilled in the art to provide such an identifier—see Baluyot et al, figure 1 and col. 3, lines 34-41);
- c. a blood component collection kit having a blood component collection kit identifier, the blood component collection kit for collecting the blood component from the donor; (Note that the blood collection kit includes a bag/container to store the blood in, which would be obvious to identify by one ordinarily skilled in the art with a particular patient/donor since the blood must be tracked from the donor to the patient to secure against problems with the blood based on the donor's health condition.

  See also Engleson et al which tracks consumables (140) (see col. 10, lines 55-67 of Engleson et al) and uses bar codes to identify a number of system variables, as shown in figures 4, 5, 5A and 6. Note that blood components are construed as consumables as such blood products, for example, plasma, are routinely required for surgeries, etc. Note also col. 1, lines 47-51, which describes a blood sample being identified as being from a particular patient.)
- d. a central input station (computer (148), see col. 4, lines 44-50) being operably connected to the blood component collection instrument (see col. 4, lines 50-65), the central input station comprising a program

Art Unit: 3653

having a plurality of code segments, at least one code segment monitoring operation of a blood component collection instrument during operation of the blood component collection instrument;

(See figure 5, noting "perform collection procedure" and "data transfer back to central station"—note also that the central input station can be considered to be a server, or at the very least, part of a network, as suggested by col. 13, lines 25-30—see also figure 5, noting "transfer/download collection device controller" step.)

- e. a memory operably connected to the system server, the memory for storing information received by the central input station (note that computers must have memory in order to store information—note also that disk (142) of Langley stores information and is a form of memory—see also Engleson, col. 7, lines 41-43, which mentions storage of data in a CPU memory or on a disk);
- f. an interface operably connected to the system server, the interface having a display for monitoring the at least one portion of the blood component collection procedure (see figure 1, noting the computer (148) with display, keyboard and mouse);

As described in Claims 59 and 82;

g. a report comprising information from the memory, the information in the memory being selected from the group consisting of data blood component collection instrument data, operator data and donor data (note

Art Unit: 3653

that Langley, col. 9, lines 42-61, for example, describe output which include such data, noting also that it would be expedient for one ordinarily skilled in the art to organize such data into an output such as a printed report);

As described in Claims 60 and 82;

h. the interface comprises a reader for entering information to be transmitted to the system server and received by the program for monitoring the blood collection kit, the blood component collection kit identifier being transmitted to the system server via the reader;

#### As described in Claim 61;

i. a blood component collection process number is associated with the blood component collection procedure, the donor, the blood collection kit and the blood collection instrument, wherein the interface transmits the donor identifier, the collection kit identifier and the blood component collection instrument identifier to the system server (See also Engleson et al which tracks consumables (140) and uses bar codes to identify a number of system variables, as shown in figures 4, 5, 5A and 6);

As described in Claim 62;

j. the interface is remotely located from the blood component collection instrument (note that the computer (148) is located at a point away from the blood collection instrument as shown in figure 1);

As described in Claim 63;

Art Unit: 3653

Page 6

k. a blood component collection process number is associated with the blood component, and wherein the blood component collection instrument identifier, the blood donor identifier and the blood component collection process number are associated with the blood collection kit (See also Engleson et al which tracks consumables (140) and uses bar codes to identify a number of system variables, as shown in figures 4, 5, 5A and 6);

#### As described in Claim 64;

I. a label is created in response to a change of status of the blood component collection kit (see Beecham, US 5,897,989) which uses label device (24), noting that it would be expedient for one ordinarily skilled in the art to provide a label);

### As described in Claim 65;

m. a blood collection kit inventory database, the blood collection kit inventory database operably connected to a blood collection kit supply wherein the blood collection kits can be replenished at the blood collection facility as needed (see Eagleson, col. 3, lines 12-20, which describes use of an inventory database as part of a system for collecting patient data and managing patient care);

#### As described in Claim 66;

n. the program automatically updates the blood collection kit inventory database in response to the blood collection kit identifier being input into

the interface (note that it would be obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art to update the inventory database based upon removal or inclusion of blood collection kits, or other consumables such as needles, drugs, alcohol, swabs and bandages, for example):

As described in Claim 67;

o. a remote server operably connected to the system server via a communication network, the remote server monitoring and tracking a remote blood collection facility (note that Engleson et al also teaches use of a server based system, which one ordinarily skilled in the art would recognize as easily adaptable to be used with the system of Langley, so as to provide a full range of patient data information);

As described in Claim 68;

p. the interface comprises a screen menu for providing information about the blood collection kit (see Engleson, figures 7-12, noting that it would be expedient for one ordinarily skilled in the art to provide such data as part of the healthcare data information screens described and illustrated);

As described in Claims 69 and 82;

- q. the interface comprises;
  - i. a reader (see Engleson, figure 2, element (69)) for entering information;

Art Unit: 3653

ii. a transmitter for transmitting information to the server (note that the bar code reader and printer are connected by wire to the pharmacy CPU (60));

Page 8

As described in Claims 70 and 82;

- r. a receiver (See Engleson (40)) for receiving information from the server;
- s. a web browser cooperating with the server, the web browser for displaying information saved in the memory; (See Engleson, noting that the web browser is shown in figures 7-12 and

As described in Claim 70;

t. the interface utilizes radio frequency (see Engleson, col. 3, lines 21-24) to transmit to the system server;

As described in Claim 71;

u. the reader comprises a touch pad (see Engleson, figure 2, element(73) for entering information into the program;

As described in Claim 72;

v. the reader comprises a touch pad for entering information into the program (note that a touch pad is a functional equivalent of a touch screen);

As described in Claim 73;

w. the interface comprises a stylus for cooperating with the touch pad wherein written text can be entered (note that a stylus is a functional equivalent of a touch screen);

As described in Claim 74;

x. the reader comprises a keypad for entering information into the program (see either Engleson or Langley);

As described in Claim 75;

y. the reader comprises an optical scanner for entering information into the program (note that bar code reader of Engleson (69) is such a scanner);

As described in Claim 76;

z. the reader comprises a magnetic scanner for entering information into the program (note that this is a functional equivalent of a bar code reader);

As described in Claim 77;

aa. the interface comprises a menu for monitoring the at least one portion of the blood component collection procedure (see Engleson menus, figures 7-12, noting that it would be expedient to provide such capabilities in the menus of Engleson);

As described in Claim 78, 89 and 90;

ab. the interface comprises a menu for tracking the at least one portion of the blood component collection procedure;

Art Unit: 3653

(See Engleson menus, figures 7-12, noting that it would be expedient to provide such capabilities in the menus of Engleson); (Note also that Baluyot teaches the use of barcode identifiers for linking the sample containers, the collection instrument, and the bleed number.)

Page 10

#### As described in Claim 79;

- ac. a communication conduit operably connecting the blood component collection instrument to the system server (note that a wire is a communication conduit, which both Langley and Engleson et al use throughout their systems); and
- ad. a web interface being operably connected to the system server, the web interface providing access to the system server for monitoring the at least one portion of the blood component collection procedure (see Engleson menus, figures 7-12, noting that it would be expedient to provide such capabilities in the menus of Engleson);

#### As described in Claim 80;

ae. the communication conduit utilizes Ethernet (see col 4, line 37 of Engleson);

#### As described in Claim 81:

af. wherein the communication conduit utilizes TCP/IP (note that it would be expedient for one ordinarily skilled in the art to use such a protocol, especially, for a network which would use the internet);

#### As described in Claim 83;

Art Unit: 3653

Page 11

ag. a fifth segment of the computer readable medium for determining eligibility of the donor (note that it is well known that blood screening is used by the red cross to screen for items such as hepatitis or HIV—see Quattrocchi (US 5,978,466) which describes a method for testing for HIV, as well as another system which tracks a blood component sample, kit and donor/patient);

### As described in Claim 84;

- ah. a sixth segment for generating a bleed number (see Baluyot et al, lines 6-40);
- ai. a seventh segment for linking the blood component collection instrument to the bleed number (see also Engleson et al which tracks consumables (140) and uses bar codes to identify a number of system variables, as shown in figures 4, 5, 5A and 6.), noting that it would be expedient to identify and link any number of variables and items required in such a procedure as taking blood);
- aj. an eighth segment for linking the donor to the bleed number;As described in Claim 85;
  - ak. a ninth segment for monitoring the at least a portion of the blood component collection procedure by utilizing the information received from the blood component collection instrument (see previous);

As described in Claim 86;

Art Unit: 3653

Page 12

al. a tenth segment for reading a blood component collection kit identifier associated with a blood component collection kit;

am. an eleventh segment for storing the blood component collection kit identifier in the system server; and

an. a twelfth segment for linking the blood component collection kit with the bleed number;

(note again that Engleson et al provides a bar code reader and bar code labels, which one ordinarily skilled in the art would find expedient to place on any part of the system required to identify it to said system)

As described in Claim 87;

ao. a thirteenth segment for generating a report utilizing the information received from the blood component collection instrument (note again that report generation would have been expedient to one ordinarily skilled in the art);

As described in Claim 88;

ap. a fourteenth segment for generating a report in utilizing the information received from the interface (note again that report generation would have been expedient to one ordinarily skilled in the art);

Langley does not expressly disclose but Brown discloses the following.

As described in Claims 58 and 82;

the central input station comprising a program having a plurality of code segments, at least one code segment monitoring operation of a blood component collection instrument during operation of the blood component collection instrument; (See Brown, figure 2, noting that application control manager (46) controls and monitors various blood drawing and processing procedures. See also Brown, col. 6, lines 22-67, col. 7, lines 1-67, and Col. 8, lines 1-51.)

Langley, Engleson, Baluyot and Brown are considered to be analogous art because Engleson describes a patient information collection, tracking and monitoring system, Langley describes a blood component collection device which compiles information about the blood component collection process and Brown describes a blood collection and processing system with operational monitoring of the drawing process. Baluyot describes using a barcode to link various blood containers.

At the time of the invention, it would have been expedient to use the system of Langley with the systems of Engleson and Brown, integrating them so as to work in concert with each other. Baluyot et al is teaches the use of barcode identifiers for linking the sample containers, the collection instrument, and the bleed number, again, as described above.

The suggestion/motivation for combining Langley and Engleson's systems would have been to provide information about the blood collection process to a complete

patient data collection and management system. This would better provide a way to control healthcare costs, among other things as well as to provide more complete data for bio-emergencies such as disease outbreaks which might affect the blood supply as well as blood usage problems which might strain the blood component supply system.

The suggestion/motivation to combine the systems of Langley and Brown is that Langley discloses a blood component collection system with optimizer. Brown also discloses a blood component collection system with real time process monitoring with an optimizer. It would have been obvious to use the blood process monitoring portion of Brown with the system of Langley because Langley's system collects blood and would require process monitoring to enable the optimizer of Langley to perform its function.

The suggestion/motivation for using Baluyot's teaching regarding barcodes linking containers and bleed numbers is that Langley is a blood component collection system and would require linking containers with blood from specific patients with their bleed numbers, a particular characteristic of the patient's blood donation process.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Engleson, Brown, Baluyot and Langley in order to obtain the system described in Claims 58-90.

## **Double Patenting**

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

بالتأوية الأناف تواليات المربو التجميل جوناها بالمتراحيات المواقوعات الأباق يراثان التاريف المرات فيادا

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 58-88 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of copending Application No. 09/864,888 in view of Engleson.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

6. Claims 58-88 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of copending Application No. 09/865,052 in view of Engleson.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

7. Claims 58-88 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of copending Application No. 09/864,926 in view of Engleson.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection

8. Claims 58-88 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of copending Application No. 09/864,891 in view of Engleson.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 3653

9. Applicant's arguments filed 4/26/04 with respect to Claims 58-90 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. See discussion, above.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey A. Shapiro whose telephone number is (703)308-3423. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald P. Walsh can be reached on (703)306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey A. Shapiro

Examiner

Art Unit 3653

June 27, 2004

DONALD P. WALS!! SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600